Lecture 12 – Code reuse attacks

Stephen Checkoway
Oberlin College

Last time

- No good reason for stack/heap/static data to be executable
- No good reason for code to be writable
  - An exception to this would be a JIT
- Data Execution Prevention (DEP) or W ^ X gives us exactly that
  - A page of memory can be writable
  - A page of memory can be executable
  - No page can ever be both
  - (Pages can be neither writable nor executable, of course)

Think like an attacker

computation + ***control***

- We (as attackers) are now prevented from executing any injected code
- We still want to perform our computation
- We talked about how to bypass stack canaries last time, so let's ignore them for now and focus on bypassing DEP
- If we can't execute injected code, what code should we execute?

Existing code in binaries

- Program code itself
- Dynamic libraries
  - Google Chrome 61.0.3163.91 links to 99 dynamic libraries!
  - libc is linked into (almost) every program
- libc contains useful functions
  - `system` — Run a shell command
  - `mprotect` — Change the memory protection on a region of code

Return to libc (ret2libc)

- Rather than returning to our shellcode, let's return to a standard library function like `system`
- We need to set the stack up precisely how `system` expects

```
int system(const char *command);
```

Simple example

- Consider

```
void foo(char *evil) {
    char buf[32];
    strcpy(buf, evil);
}
```

- Let's overwrite the saved eip with the address of `system`



Simple example

- Consider

```
void foo(char *evil) {
    char buf[32];
    strcpy(buf, evil);
}
```

- Let's overwrite the saved eip with the address of `system`



Simple example

- Consider

```
void foo(char *evil) {
    char buf[32];
    strcpy(buf, evil);
}
```

- Let's overwrite the saved eip with the address of `system`
- `system` takes one argument, a pointer to the command string; where does it go?



Back to basics

- Imagine we called `system` directly via `system(command);`
- Look at the stack layout before the first instruction in `system`
- As usual, the first argument is at `esp + 4`



Simple example

- Consider

```
void foo(char *evil) {
    char buf[32];
    strcpy(buf, evil);
}
```

- Let's overwrite the saved eip with the address of `system`
- `system` takes one argument, a pointer to the command string; where does it go? `esp + 4` *after the* `ret`



Simple example

- `ret` pops the address of `system` off the stack and into `eip` leaving the stack pointer pointing at the first `evil`
- 4 bytes above that should be our pointer to the command string



Simple example

- `ret` pops the address of `system` off the stack and into `eip` leaving the stack pointer pointing at the first `evil`
- 4 bytes above that should be our pointer to the command string
- Where should we put the command string `"sh"` itself?
  - In buf?
  - Above the pointer to the command string?



Simple example

- `ret` pops the address of `system` off the stack and into `eip` leaving the stack pointer pointing at the first `evil`
- 4 bytes above that should be our pointer to the command string
- Where should we put the command string `"sh"` itself?
  - ~~In buf?~~
  - Above the pointer to the command string?



Simple example

- When `system` returns, it'll return to the address on the stack at `esp` (the ???)
- This will likely crash unless we pick a good value to put there



Simple example

- When `system` returns, it'll return to the address on the stack at `esp` (the ???)
- This will likely crash unless we pick a good value to put there
- The address of `exit` is a good choice
- Now when `system` returns, the program will exit



Injecting code

- We cannot run injected code directly, but we can first make it executable by calling `mprotect`

```
int mprotect(void *addr,
             size_t len,
             int prot);
```

- This can be tricky since there are likely to be zero bytes
  - Use memcpy instead of strcpy
  - Use return-oriented programming (next class)



Injecting code

- Return to `mprotect`



Injecting code

- Return to `mprotect`
  - Increments `esp` by 4
  - Runs `mprotect` making the injected code executable
  - Modifies the stack below `esp`



Injecting code

- Return to `mprotect`
  - Increments `esp` by 4
  - Runs `mprotect` making the injected code executable
  - Modifies the stack below `esp`
- Return from `mprotect` to `code`



Injecting code

- Return to `mprotect`
  - Increments `esp` by 4
  - Runs `mprotect` making the injected code executable
  - Modifies the stack below `esp`
- Return from `mprotect` to `code`
  - Increments `esp` by 4
  - Runs `code`



Chaining functions

- We can chain two functions together if
  - the first has one argument and the second any number of arguments



Chaining functions

- We can chain two functions together if
  - the first has one argument and the second any number of arguments; or
  - the first has any number of arguments and the second has none



Chaining functions

- We can chain two functions together if
  - the first has one argument and the second any number of arguments; or
  - the first has any number of arguments and the second has none
- We can start with any number of zero argument functions for either case



Cleaning up between

- What if we want to chain the four function calls fun1(t), fun2(u,v), fun3(w,x,y), fun4(z)?
- Identify pieces of code that clean up the stack and return to those between function calls
- Examples:
  - `popl %ebp; ret`
  - `popl %ebx; popl %ebp; ret`
  - `addl $16, %esp; ret`



Running

1. Return to `fun1`



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2`



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3`



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3` which runs, modifies stack



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3` which runs, modifies stack
6. Return to add; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3` which runs, modifies stack
6. Return to add; ret



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3` which runs, modifies stack
6. Return to add; ret
7. Return to `fun4`



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3` which runs, modifies stack
6. Return to add; ret
7. Return to `fun4` which runs, modifies stack



Running

1. Return to `fun1` which runs, modifies stack
2. Return to pop; ret
3. Return to `fun2` which runs, modifies stack
4. Return to pop; pop; ret
5. Return to `fun3` which runs, modifies stack
6. Return to add; ret
7. Return to `fun4` which runs, modifies stack
8. Et cetera



Cleanup code

- Two key pieces
  - Stack modification (pop or add esp). Modifies the stack pointer to move over the arguments to the function
  - Return at the end. Returns to the next function whose address is on the stack
- Together, this lets us chain a more or less arbitrary number of function calls **with constant parameters**
  - Depends on how much stack space we have (but we can change the stack pointer via a sequence like `xchgl %eax, %esp; ret`)
  - Depends on what cleanup code we can find in the program/libraries (turns out there's a whole lot there)

Next time

- There's no need to limit ourselves to returning to functions and cleanup code
- We can encode arbitrary computation (including conditionals and loops) by returning to sequences of code ending in `ret`