CSCI 275: Programming Abstractions Lecture 9: apply & fold right Spring 2025

Stephen Checkoway, Oberlin College Slides gratefully borrowed from Molly Q Feldman



Another tool: apply



Motivation

- Imagine you have a list of numbers and you want to multiply them all together We know (* 3 5 7 - 2 8 10) works but how do we make that into a
- Ne know (* 3 5 7 2 8 10) works but how do we make that into a function if we don't know how many numbers we have ahead of time?
- (define (product lst)
 ???)
- We could write a recursive procedure but it'd be great if we could just use the elements in <code>lst</code> as the arguments to \star

Applying a procedure to a list of arguments (apply proc lst) Applies proc to the arguments in lst and returns a single value

=> 4

(define (maximum lst) (apply max lst))

(define sum (lambda (lst) (apply + lst))(sum '(1 2 3)) => (apply + '(1 2 3)) => (+ 1 2 3) => 6

(maximum '(1 3 4 2)) => (apply max '(1 3 4 2))=> (max 1 3 4 2)

+ in Racket can take any number of arguments



Applying with some fixed arguments (apply proc v... lst)

a list and applies proc to all of those arguments

- apply takes a variable number of arguments where the final one is
- (apply proc 1 2 3 '(4 5 6)) => (proc 1 2 3 4 5 6)





You're working with 3-d vectors stored as 3-element lists—e.g. '(-5 8 6.2). You have a function (vector-len x y z) which gives the length of the vector and a list of vectors (define vecs '((-5 8 6.2) (1 -2 3) ...))How do you get a list of the lengths of the vectors?

A. (map vector-len vecs)

B. (apply vector-len vecs)

C.(map (λ (v) (apply vector-len v)) vecs)

D.(apply (λ (v) (map vector-len v)) vecs)

Even *more* abstractions, and thus tools in our toolbox

Lots of similarities between functions (sum lst)

(define (sum lst) (cond [(empty? lst) 0] [else (+ (first lst) (sum (rest lst))))))

(length lst)

(define (length lst)

(cond [(empty? lst) 0] [else (+1)

(length (rest lst)))]))

(map proc lst)

(define (map proc lst) (cond [(empty? lst) empty] [else (cons (proc (first lst)) (map proc (rest lst)))]))

Even for functions that don't immediately look like they fall into the pattern...

(remove* x lst)

(remove* x (rest lst)))]))

Even for functions that don't immediately look like they fall into the pattern... (remove* x lst)

(define (remove* x lst) (cond [(empty? lst) empty] [else (cons (first lst)

We can rewrite them to look more like the others

(define (remove* x lst) (cond [(empty? lst) empty] [else (if (equal? x (first lst)) (cons (first lst)

- [(equal? x (first lst)) (remove* x (rest lst))]
 - (remove* x (rest lst)))))

```
(remove* x (rest lst))
    (remove* x (rest lst)))))))
```

Some similarities Basic structure is the same! (define (fun ... lst) (cond [(empty? lst) base-case] [else (let ([head (first lst)]

Function	base-case	(combine
sum	0	(+ head
length	0	(+ 1 res
map	empty	(cons (p
remove*	empty	(if (equ

[result (fun ... (rest lst))]) (combine head result))]))

head result)

result) ult) roc head) result) al? x head) result (cons head result))



(define (fun lst) (cond [(empty? lst) base-case]

lst: list of α base-case: β What kind of function is combine? (input type to output type)

- A.combine: $\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ B.combine: $\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \beta$
- C.combine: $\beta \times \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
- D.combine: $\beta \times \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

[else (let ([head (first lst)] [result (fun (rest lst))]) (combine head result))]))

(define (fun lst) (cond [(empty? lst) base-case] [else (let ([head (first lst)] [result (fun (rest lst))]) (combine head result))]))

lst: list of α base-case: β combine: $\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \beta$ If α = boolean and β = string, what type is (fun '(#t #f #f))? A.boolean

B.string C.boolean \rightarrow string D.string \rightarrow boolean

Next Up Readings continue, see the course schedule! Homework 2 is due tonight at 11:59pm via Github

- Commit/Push is free, do it often!
- Homework 3 is available