

# Context-free languages are closed under intersection with regular languages

Stephen Checkoway

February 24, 2014

The proof of the main theorem below is greatly simplified by the introduction of new notation.

**Definition.** For a DFA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is a DFA, define the function  $\delta^* : Q \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow Q$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(q, \varepsilon) &= q \\ \delta^*(q, aw) &= \delta^*(\delta(q, a), w) \quad \text{for } a \in \Sigma, w \in \Sigma^*.\end{aligned}$$

In essence, starting from state  $q$ , when  $M$  reads the string  $w$ , it ends up in state  $\delta^*(q, w)$ . Note that  $w \in L(M)$  if and only if  $\delta^*(q_0, w) \in F$ .

**Theorem.** *The intersection of a context-free language  $L_1$  and a regular language  $L_2$  is context-free.*

For any CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  in Chomsky normal form (CNF) that does not generate  $\varepsilon$  and a DFA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, \{q_f\})$  that has exactly one accept state, we can construct a new CFG  $G' = (V', \Sigma, R', S')$ , also in CNF where

$$V' = \{\langle q, A, r \rangle \mid A \in V \text{ and } q, r \in Q\}, \quad (1)$$

$$S' = \langle q_0, S, q_f \rangle, \quad (2)$$

$$R' = \{\langle q, A, r \rangle \rightarrow t \mid A \rightarrow t \in R, t \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}, \text{ and } \delta(q, t) = r\} \cup \quad (3)$$

$$\{\langle q, A, r \rangle \rightarrow \langle q, B, s \rangle \langle s, C, r \rangle \mid A \rightarrow BC \in R \text{ and } q, r, s \in Q\}. \quad (4)$$

The new grammar  $G'$  is clearly in CNF since each rule is either  $\langle \text{variable} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{terminal} \rangle$  from (3) or  $\langle \text{variable} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{variable} \rangle \langle \text{variable} \rangle$  from (4).

The intuition behind these variables is that  $\langle q, A, r \rangle$  generates the strings  $w$  that are generated by  $A$  in  $G$  such that when  $M$  reads  $w$  starting from state  $q$ , it ends in state  $r$ . We make that more precise and prove that it is true with the following lemma.

**Lemma.** *For each  $\langle q, A, r \rangle \in V'$ ,  $\langle q, A, r \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w$  iff  $A \xRightarrow{*} w$  and  $\delta^*(q, w) = r$ .*

*Proof.* We can prove this by induction on the length of strings  $w$ . There are two cases to consider.

1. Base case:  $w = a$  for some  $a \in \Sigma$ . Since  $G'$  is in CNF, the derivation of a terminal happens in a single step. Thus,  $\langle q, A, r \rangle \xRightarrow{*} a$  iff  $\langle q, A, r \rangle \Rightarrow a$  iff  $A \Rightarrow a$  and  $\delta(q, a) = r$  iff  $A \xRightarrow{*} a$  and  $\delta^*(q, a) = r$ . The last step is an “iff” for the same reason the first is:  $G$  is in CNF.
2. Inductive case:  $|w| = n > 1$ . Deriving a string of length  $n > 0$  from a grammar in CNF takes  $2n - 1$  steps. Since  $n > 1$ , this first step *must* yield two variables. Therefore,  $\langle q, A, r \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w$  iff

$$\langle q, A, r \rangle \Rightarrow \langle q, B, s \rangle \langle s, C, r \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w \quad \text{for some } s \in Q \quad (5)$$

iff  $A \Rightarrow BC$ .

Now we can apply the inductive hypothesis twice since each variable in the middle of (5) must derive a string of length strictly smaller than  $n$ . In particular, neither variable may derive  $\varepsilon$  because only the start variable in a CNF grammar may derive the empty string and the start variable may not appear in the right hand side of any rule. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis,  $\langle q, B, s \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w_1$  and  $\langle s, C, r \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w_2$ , iff  $B \xRightarrow{*} w_1$ ,  $\delta^*(q, w_1) = s$ ,  $C \xRightarrow{*} w_2$ , and  $\delta^*(s, w_2) = r$ . Since  $w = w_1 w_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(q, w) &= \delta^*(\delta^*(q, w_1), w_2) \\ &= \delta^*(s, w_2) \\ &= r. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A \Rightarrow BC$ ,  $A \xRightarrow{*} w$ .

Putting this all together, we have  $\langle q, A, r \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w$  iff  $A \xRightarrow{*} w$  and  $\delta^*(q, w) = r$ .  $\square$

In particular, the strings generated by  $\langle q_0, S, q_f \rangle$  are precisely those strings generated by  $S$  which are accepted by  $M$ . All that remains to prove the theorem is to handle the cases where the DFA recognizing  $L_2$  has zero accept states (i.e.,  $L_2 = \emptyset$ ), the DFA has more than 1 accept states, and where  $\varepsilon \in L_1$ .

*Proof.* If  $L_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$  which is context-free.

Assume  $L_2 \neq \emptyset$ . It is an easy fact to prove that any nonempty, regular language is the union of finitely many regular languages each of which is recognized by a DFA with a single state.<sup>1</sup> Since context-free languages are closed under union, it suffices to prove the theorem for the case where  $L_2$  is recognized by a DFA with a single accept state.

Let  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  be a CFG in CNF which generates  $L_1 \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$  and let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, \{q_f\})$  be the DFA which recognizes  $L_2$ . Construct the new CFG  $G'$  according to the above construction. Now,  $w \in L(G')$  iff  $\langle q_0, S, q_f \rangle \xRightarrow{*} w$ . By

---

<sup>1</sup>To see this, consider a DFA which recognizes the original language. This DFA has  $|F|$  accept states. Construct  $|F|$  copies of the DFA, each of which has a single accept state. The union of the language recognized by each of these machines is the original language.

the lemma, this happens iff  $S \xrightarrow{*} w$  and  $\delta^*(q_0, w) = q_f$ . Hence  $w \in L(G')$  iff  $w \in L_1 \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$  and  $w \in L_2$ .

Finally, if  $\varepsilon \in L_1 \cap L_2$ , then we can add the rule  $\langle q_0, S, q_f \rangle \rightarrow \varepsilon$  to  $G'$ . If we do this,  $G'$  is still in CNF. In particular,  $\langle q_0, S, q_f \rangle$  never appears on the right hand side of a rule so all the introduction of this rule does is add  $\varepsilon$  to the language generated by  $G'$ . In either case,  $L(G') = L_1 \cap L_2$ .  $\square$