CS 383 Lecture 10 – Chomsky Normal Form

Stephen Checkoway

Spring 2024

More CFL_s

- $A = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i \le j \text{ or } i = k\}$
- $B = \{w \mid w \in \{a, b, c\}^*$ contains the same number of as as bs and cs combined}
- $C = \{1^m + 1^n = 1^{m+n} \mid m, n \ge 1\}; \Sigma = \{1, +, =\}$
- $D = (\text{abb}^* | \text{bbaa})^*$
- $E = \{w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ and } w^{\mathcal{R}} \text{ is a binary number not divisible by 5}\}\$

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

• states of *M* are variables in *G*

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

- states of *M* are variables in *G*
- \bullet q_0 is the start variable, and

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

- states of *M* are variables in *G*
- \bullet q_0 is the start variable, and
- transitions $\delta(q, t) = r$ become rules $q \rightarrow tr$

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

- states of *M* are variables in *G*
- \bullet q_0 is the start variable, and
- transitions $\delta(q, t) = r$ become rules $q \rightarrow tr$

If on input $w = w_1w_2\cdots w_n$, M goes through states r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n , then

 $r_0 \Rightarrow w_1 r_1 \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 r_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n r_n$

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

- states of *M* are variables in *G*
- \bullet q_0 is the start variable, and
- transitions $\delta(q, t) = r$ become rules $q \rightarrow tr$

If on input $w = w_1w_2\cdots w_n$, M goes through states r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n , then

$$
r_0 \Rightarrow w_1 r_1 \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 r_2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n r_n
$$

So *G* has derived the string *wrⁿ* but this still has a variable

What additional rules should we add to end up with a string of terminals?

We can encode the computation of a DFA on a string using a CFG

Give a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

- states of *M* are variables in *G*
- \bullet q_0 is the start variable, and
- transitions $\delta(q, t) = r$ become rules $q \rightarrow tr$

If on input $w = w_1w_2\cdots w_n$, M goes through states r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n , then

$$
r_0 \Rightarrow w_1 r_1 \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 r_2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n r_n
$$

So *G* has derived the string *wrⁿ* but this still has a variable

What additional rules should we add to end up with a string of terminals? For each state $q \in F$, add a rule $q \to \varepsilon$

Formally

Proof.

Given a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

$$
V = Q
$$

\n
$$
S = q_0
$$

\n
$$
R = \{q \to tr : \delta(q, t) = r\} \cup \{q \to \varepsilon : q \in F\}
$$

Formally

Proof.

Given a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, we can construct an equivalent CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

$$
V = Q
$$

\n
$$
S = q_0
$$

\n
$$
R = \{q \to tr : \delta(q, t) = r\} \cup \{q \to \varepsilon : q \in F\}
$$

If r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n is the computation of *M* on input $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n$, then $r_0 = q_0$ and $\delta(r_{i-1}, w_i) = r_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$

By construction $r_0 \Rightarrow w_1 r_1 \Rightarrow w_1 w_2 r_2 \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n r_n$

Therefore, $w \in L(M)$ iff $r_n \in F$ iff $r_n \Rightarrow \varepsilon$ iff $q_0 \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$ iff $w \in L(G)$

 \Box

Returning to our language

 $E = \{w \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*$ and $w^\mathcal{R}$ is a binary number not divisible by 5}

Returning to our language

 $E = \{w \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*$ and $w^\mathcal{R}$ is a binary number not divisible by 5}

 Q_0 → 0 Q_0 | 1 Q_2 Q_1 → 0 Q_3 | 1 Q_0 | ε $Q_2 \rightarrow 0Q_1 \mid 1Q_3 \mid \varepsilon$ $Q_3 \rightarrow 0Q_4 \mid 1Q_1 \mid \varepsilon$ Q_4 → 0 Q_2 | 1 Q_4 | *ε*

Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

A CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ is in Chomsky Normal Form if all rules have one of these forms

- $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$ where *S* is the start variable
- $A \rightarrow BC$ where $A \in V$ and $B, C \in V \setminus \{S\}$
- $A \rightarrow t$ where $A \in V$ and $t \in \Sigma$

Note

- The only rule with *ε* on the right has the start variable on the left
- The start variable doesn't appear on the right hand side of any rule

Let
$$
A = \{w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } w = w^{\mathcal{R}}\}.
$$

CFG in CNF Derivation of baaab

S

$$
S \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon
$$

\n
$$
T \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b
$$

\n
$$
U \to TA \mid a
$$

\n
$$
V \to TB \mid b
$$

\n
$$
A \to a
$$

\n
$$
B \to b
$$

$$
S \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon
$$

\n
$$
T \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b
$$

\n
$$
U \to TA \mid a
$$

\n
$$
V \to TB \mid b
$$

\n
$$
A \to a
$$

\n
$$
B \to b
$$

$$
S \Rightarrow BV
$$

$$
S \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon
$$

\n
$$
T \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b
$$

\n
$$
U \to TA \mid a
$$

\n
$$
V \to TB \mid b
$$

\n
$$
A \to a
$$

\n
$$
B \to b
$$

\n
$$
S \Rightarrow BV
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow bV
$$

\n
$$
A \to a
$$

 $A \rightarrow a$ $B \rightarrow b$

$$
S \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon
$$
\n
$$
T \to AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b
$$
\n
$$
U \to TA \mid a
$$
\n
$$
V \to TB \mid b
$$
\n
$$
\implies bT
$$
\n
$$
S \Rightarrow BV
$$
\n
$$
\implies bV
$$
\n
$$
\implies bTB
$$

$S \rightarrow AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon$	$S \Rightarrow BV$
$T \rightarrow AU \mid BV \mid a \mid b$	$\Rightarrow bV$
$U \rightarrow TA \mid a$	$\Rightarrow bTB$
$V \rightarrow TB \mid b$	$\Rightarrow bAUB$

$$
B \to b
$$

Let $A = \{w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } w = w^{\mathcal{R}}\}.$ CFG in CNF

Derivation of baaab

Let $A = \{w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } w = w^{\mathcal{R}}\}.$ CFG in CNF

Derivation of baaab

⇒ baaab

Converting to CNF

Theorem

Every context-free language *A* is generated by some CFG in CNF.

Proof.

Given a CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ generating A, we construct a new CFG $G' = (V', \Sigma, R', S')$ in CNF generating A.

There are five steps.

START Add a new start variable

BIN Replace rules with RHS longer than two with multiple rules each of which has a RHS of length two

DEL-*ε* Remove all *ε*-rules (*A* → *ε*)

UNIT Remove all unit-rules $(A \rightarrow B)$

TERM Add a variable and rule for each terminal $(T \rightarrow t)$ and replace terminals on the RHS of rules

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$ <code>START Add a new start variable S' and a rule $S' \to S$ </code>

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

<code>START Add a new start variable S' and a rule $S' \to S$ </code>

BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS
	- $B \to A$. Add rule $B \to \varepsilon$ unless $B \to \varepsilon$ has already been removed

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS
	- $B \to A$. Add rule $B \to \varepsilon$ unless $B \to \varepsilon$ has already been removed
	- $B \rightarrow AA$. Add rule $B \rightarrow A$ and if $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ has not already been removed, add it

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS
	- $B \to A$. Add rule $B \to \varepsilon$ unless $B \to \varepsilon$ has already been removed
	- $B \rightarrow AA$. Add rule $B \rightarrow A$ and if $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ has not already been removed, add it
	- $B \rightarrow xA$ or $B \rightarrow Ax$. Add rule $B \rightarrow x$

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS
	- $B \to A$. Add rule $B \to \varepsilon$ unless $B \to \varepsilon$ has already been removed
	- $B \rightarrow AA$. Add rule $B \rightarrow A$ and if $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ has not already been removed, add it
	- $B \rightarrow xA$ or $B \rightarrow Ax$. Add rule $B \rightarrow x$
- UNIT For each rule $A \rightarrow B$, remove it and add rules $A \rightarrow u$ for each $B \rightarrow u$ unless $A \rightarrow u$ is a unit rule already removed

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS
	- $B \to A$. Add rule $B \to \varepsilon$ unless $B \to \varepsilon$ has already been removed
	- $B \rightarrow AA$. Add rule $B \rightarrow A$ and if $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ has not already been removed, add it
	- $B \rightarrow xA$ or $B \rightarrow Ax$. Add rule $B \rightarrow x$
- UNIT For each rule $A \rightarrow B$, remove it and add rules $A \rightarrow u$ for each $B \rightarrow u$ unless $A \rightarrow u$ is a unit rule already removed
- TERM For each $t \in \Sigma$, add a new variable T and a rule $T \to t$; replace each t in the RHS of nonunit rules with *T*

In the following $x \in V \cup \Sigma$ and $u \in (\Sigma \cup V)^+$

<code>START Add a new start variable S' and a rule $S' \to S$ </code>

- BIN Replace each rule $A \rightarrow xu$ with the rules $A \rightarrow xA_1$ and $A_1 \rightarrow u$ and repeat until the RHS of every rule has length at most two
- $DEL-\varepsilon$ For each rule of the form $A \to \varepsilon$ other than $S' \to \varepsilon$ remove $A \to \varepsilon$ and update all rules with *A* in the RHS
	- $B \to A$. Add rule $B \to \varepsilon$ unless $B \to \varepsilon$ has already been removed
	- $B \rightarrow AA$. Add rule $B \rightarrow A$ and if $B \rightarrow \varepsilon$ has not already been removed, add it
	- $B \rightarrow xA$ or $B \rightarrow Ax$. Add rule $B \rightarrow x$
- UNIT For each rule $A \rightarrow B$, remove it and add rules $A \rightarrow u$ for each $B \rightarrow u$ unless $A \rightarrow u$ is a unit rule already removed
- TERM For each $t \in \Sigma$, add a new variable T and a rule $T \rightarrow t$; replace each t in the RHS of nonunit rules with *T*

Each of the five steps preserves the language generated by the grammar so $L(G') = A$.

Example

Convert to CNF A → *BAB* | *B* | *ε* $B \rightarrow 00$ | ε

START:

Example

Convert to CNF *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε* $B \rightarrow 00$ | ε START: $S \rightarrow A$ *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε*
Convert to CNF *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 $|ε$ START: $S \rightarrow A$ *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $B \mid \varepsilon$
 $\rightarrow BAB$:

BIN: Replace *A*

DEL-*ε*: Remove *A* → *ε*: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* $BA₁$ ∣ B *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $AB \mid B$ $B → ε$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε BA*¹ ∣ *B* ∣ *A*¹ *B* → 00 $AB \mid B \mid A \mid \varepsilon$ d $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$ because we

emoved it

DEL-*ε*: Remove *A* → *ε*: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B$ *B* → 00 ϵ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B$ Remove $B \to \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ A_1 → $AB \mid B \mid A \mid \varepsilon$ Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it Remove $A_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon$:

Convert to CNF *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* START: *S* → *A A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* BIN: Replace $A \rightarrow BAB$: *S* → *A* A → BA_1 | B | ε *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $A_1 \rightarrow AB$

DEL-*ε*: Remove *A* → *ε*: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B$ *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B$ Remove $B \to \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ A_1 → AB | B | A | ε

Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it

Remove $A_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ *A*¹ → *AB* ∣ *B* ∣ *A*

Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it

Convert to CNF *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* START: *S* → *A A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* BIN: Replace $A \rightarrow BAB$: *S* → *A* A → BA_1 | B | ε *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $A_1 \rightarrow AB$

DEL-*ε*: Remove *A* → *ε*: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B$ *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B$ Remove $B \to \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ A_1 → AB | B | A | ε

Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it

Remove $A_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ *A*¹ → *AB* ∣ *B* ∣ *A*

Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it

UNIT: Remove $S \rightarrow A$

Convert to CNF *A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* START: *S* → *A A* → *BAB* ∣ *B* ∣ *ε B* → 00 ∣ *ε* BIN: Replace $A \rightarrow BAB$: *S* → *A* A → BA_1 | B | ε *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $A_1 \rightarrow AB$

DEL-*ε*: Remove *A* → *ε*: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B$ *B* → 00 ∣ *ε* $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B$ Remove $B \to \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ A_1 → AB | B | A | ε

Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it

Remove $A_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon$: *S* → *A* ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ *A*¹ → *AB* ∣ *B* ∣ *A*

Don't add *A* → *ε* because we already removed it

UNIT: Remove $S \rightarrow A$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *B* ∣ *A*¹ ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$

From previous slide *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *B* ∣ *A*¹ ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$

From previous slide *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *B* ∣ *A*¹ ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$

Remove $S \rightarrow B$

From previous slide $S \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B \mid A_1 \mid \varepsilon$ A → BA ₁ | B | A ₁ $B \to 00$ A_1 → $AB \mid B \mid A$ Remove $S \to B$ $S \rightarrow BA_1 \mid A_1 \mid \varepsilon \mid$ 00 A → BA ₁ | B | A ₁ $B \to 00$ A_1 → $AB \mid B \mid A$

From previous slide *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *B* ∣ *A*¹ ∣ *ε* A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$ Remove $S \rightarrow B$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *A*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ Remove $S \rightarrow A_1$

 $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$

From previous slide $S \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B \mid A_1 \mid \varepsilon$ $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B \mid A_1$ $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$ Remove $S \rightarrow B$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *A*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 A → BA_1 | B | A_1 $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$ Remove $S \rightarrow A_1$ $S \rightarrow BA_1$ | ε | 00 | *AB* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid B \mid A_1$ $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$ Don't add $S \to B$ or $S \to A$ Don't add $A \to B$ because because we removed them Remove $A \rightarrow B$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB A* → *BA*₁ | *A*₁ | 00 $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$ Remove $A \rightarrow A_1$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid 00 \mid AB$ $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid B \mid A$ we removed it Don't add $A \rightarrow A$ because it's useless Remove $A_1 \rightarrow B$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB A* → *BA*₁ | 00 | *AB* $B \rightarrow 00$ *A*¹ → *AB* $|A|$ 00

Copied from the previous slide *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid 00 \mid AB$ $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid A \mid 00$

Remove $A_1 \rightarrow A$

```
Copied from the previous slide S \rightarrow BA_1 | \varepsilon | 00 | AB
     A → BA<sub>1</sub> | 00 | AB
     B \rightarrow 00A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid A \mid 00Remove A_1 \rightarrow AS \rightarrow BA_1 | \varepsilon | 00 | AB
      A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid \text{oo} \mid ABB \to 00A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid \text{oo} \mid BA_1
```
Copied from the previous slide $S \rightarrow BA_1$ | ε | 00 | *AB A* → *BA*₁ | 00 | *AB* $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid A \mid 00$ Remove $A_1 \rightarrow A$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid 00 \mid AB$ $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid 00 \mid BA_1$

TERM: Add
$$
Z \rightarrow 0
$$

Copied from the previous slide *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB A* → *BA*₁ | 00 | *AB* $B \rightarrow 00$ *A*₁ → *AB* $|A|$ 00 Remove $A_1 \rightarrow A$ *S* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ε* ∣ 00 ∣ *AB* $A \rightarrow BA_1 \mid 00 \mid AB$ $B \rightarrow 00$ $A_1 \rightarrow AB \mid 00 \mid BA_1$

TERM: Add $Z \rightarrow 0$ $S \rightarrow BA_1$ | ε | *ZZ* | *AB A* → *BA*¹ ∣ *ZZ* ∣ *AB* $B \rightarrow ZZ$ A_1 → AB | ZZ | BA_1 $Z \rightarrow 0$

Caution

Sipser gives a different procedure

- **n** START
- 2 DEL-*ε*
- **8 UNIT**
- **4** BIN
- 6 TERM

This procedure works but can lead to an exponential blow up in the number of rules!

```
In general, if DEL-\varepsilon comes before BIN, then |G'| is O(2^{2|G|}) ;if BIN comes before DEL-ε, then |G'| is O(|G|^2)
```
UNIT is responsible for the quadratic blow up

So use whichever procedure you'd like, but Sipser's can be very bad (Sipser's is bad if you have long rules with lots of variables with *ε*-rules)

Example blow up

A → *BCDEEDCB* ∣ *CBEDDEBC B* → 0 | ε $C \rightarrow 1 \mid \varepsilon$ $D \rightarrow 2 \mid \varepsilon$ *E* → 3 ϵ

has five variables and 10 rules

Converting using START, BIN, DEL-*ε*, UNIT, TERM gives a CFG with 18 variables and 125 rules

Example blow up

A → *BCDEEDCB* ∣ *CBEDDEBC B* → 0 | ε $C \rightarrow 1 \mid \varepsilon$ $D \rightarrow 2 \mid \varepsilon$ *E* → 3 | ε

has five variables and 10 rules

Converting using START, BIN, DEL-*ε*, UNIT, TERM gives a CFG with 18 variables and 125 rules

Converting using START, DEL-*ε*, UNIT, BIN, TERM gives a CFG with 1394 variables and 1953 rules

Recall PREFIX(
$$
L
$$
) = { w | for some $x \in \Sigma^*$, $wx \in L$ }

Theorem

The class of context-free languages is closed under PREFIX.

Recall $\text{PREFIX}(L) = \{w \mid \text{for some } x \in \Sigma^*, wx \in L\}$

Theorem

The class of context-free languages is closed under PREFIX.

Proof idea

Consider the language $\{w \# w^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in \{\text{a}, \text{b}\}^*\}$ generated by

T → a*T*a ∣ b*T*b ∣ #

```
Recall \text{PREFIX}(L) = \{w \mid \text{for some } x \in \Sigma^*, wx \in L\}
```
Theorem

The class of context-free languages is closed under PREFIX.

Proof idea

Consider the language $\{w \# w^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in \{\text{a}, \text{b}\}^*\}$ generated by

T → a*T*a ∣ b*T*b ∣ #

Let's convert to CNF

Recall $\text{PREFIX}(L) = \{w \mid \text{for some } x \in \Sigma^*, wx \in L\}$

Theorem

The class of context-free languages is closed under PREFIX.

Proof idea

Consider the language $\{w \# w^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in \{\text{a}, \text{b}\}^*\}$ generated by

T → a*T*a ∣ b*T*b ∣ #

Let's convert to CNF

```
S → AU | BV | #
T → AU | BV | #
U \rightarrow TAV \rightarrow TBA \rightarrow aB \rightarrow b
```
Derivation of ab#ba

- $S \Rightarrow AU$
	- \Rightarrow a*U*
	- \Rightarrow a TA
	- \Rightarrow a*BVA*
	- \Rightarrow ab*VA*
	- \Rightarrow ab TBA
	- \Rightarrow ab#*BA*
	- \Rightarrow ab#b \hat{A}
	- ⇒ ab#ba

The prefix ab# includes

- all terminals from subtrees with a blue root;
- some terminals from subtrees with a violet root;
- no terminals from subtrees with a red root

A

a

Desired derivation for the prefix

We would like a derivation like this

- $S \Rightarrow AU$
	- \Rightarrow a*U*

 \Rightarrow a TA

- \Rightarrow a*BVA*
- \Rightarrow ab VA
- \Rightarrow ab TBA
- \Rightarrow ab#*BA*
- \Rightarrow ab#*εA*
- ⇒ ab#*εε*

Everything left of the violet path is produced Everything right of the violet path becomes *ε* The leaf connected to the violet path is produced

The proof idea

The violet path corresponds to the point where we "split" the prefix from the remainder of the string

We want to construct a CFG that keeps track of whether a given variable in the derivation is

- *L* left of the split,
- *S* part of the split, or
- *R* right of the split

We can construct a new CFG whose variables are $\langle A, L \rangle$, $\langle A, S \rangle$, or $\langle A, R \rangle$ where A is a variable in the original CFG

We have to deal with the three types of rules

- \bullet *S* \rightarrow *ε*
- \bullet *A* \rightarrow *BC*
- \bullet *A* \rightarrow *t*

and produce new rules corresponding to the variable on the LHS being left of, right of, or on the split

Proof

If $L = \emptyset$, then $\text{PREFIX}(L) = \emptyset$ which is CF.

Otherwise, let *L* be CF and generated by the CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ in CNF.

Construct a new CFG (not in CNF) $G' = (V', \Sigma, R', S')$ where

$$
V' = \{ \langle A, D \rangle \mid A \in V \text{ and } D \in \{L, S, R \} \}
$$

$$
S' = \langle S, S \rangle
$$

Now we just need to specify $R^{'}$. We'll start with $R^{'} = \varnothing$ and add rules to it
Proof continued

Since L is nonempty, $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{PREFIX}(L)$ so add the rule $\langle S,S \rangle \to \varepsilon$ to R^l

For each rule of the form $A \to BC$ in R , add the following rules to R^{I} $\langle A, L \rangle \rightarrow \langle B, L \rangle \langle C, L \rangle$ left of the split $\langle A, S \rangle \rightarrow \langle B, L \rangle \langle C, S \rangle \mid \langle B, S \rangle \langle C, R \rangle$ one of *B* or *C* is on the split $\langle A, R \rangle \rightarrow \langle B, R \rangle \langle C, R \rangle$ right of the split

For each rule of the form $A \to t$ in R , add the following rules to R^l $\langle A, L \rangle \rightarrow t$ $\langle A, S \rangle \rightarrow t$ ⟨*A, R*⟩ → *ε*

Proof continued

For each
$$
w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \in L
$$
, $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n$ where $A_i \Rightarrow w_i$
By construction,

$$
\langle S, S \rangle \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \langle A_1, L \rangle \cdots \langle A_{i-1}, L \rangle \langle A_i, S \rangle \langle A_{i+1}, R \rangle \cdots \langle A_n, R \rangle
$$

$$
\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i
$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq n$

I.e., *G* ′ derives the prefix of every string in *L*

A similar argument works to show that if G^{I} derives a string then it's a prefix of some string in *L* П

Applying the construction

Deriving ab# $\langle S, S \rangle \Rightarrow \langle A, L \rangle \langle U, S \rangle$ \Rightarrow a $\langle U, S \rangle$ \Rightarrow a $\langle T, S \rangle \langle A, R \rangle$ \Rightarrow a $\langle B,L\rangle \langle V,S\rangle \langle A,R\rangle$ \Rightarrow ab $\langle V, S \rangle \langle A, R \rangle$ \Rightarrow ab $\langle T, S \rangle$ $\langle BA, R \rangle$ \Rightarrow ab# $\langle B,R\rangle \langle A,R\rangle$ \Rightarrow ab# $\langle A, R \rangle$

 \Rightarrow ab#

Similarities with regular expression

Proving things about

- Regular languages. Assume there exists a regular expression that generates the language and consider the six cases
- Context-free languages. Assume there exists a CFG that generates the language and consider the three types of rules