CS 383 Exam 2 Study Guide

Stephen Checkoway

Fall 2023

Exam topics

Broadly speaking: Everything through non-context-free languages (Sipser chapter 2)

- CFGs, both the mathematical definition as a 4-tuple $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ and as lists of rules
- Converting a CFG to CNF
- PDAs, both the mathematical definition $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ and diagrams
- Closure properties of CFLs

Types of exam questions

The questions from the exam fall into these types

- True/false questions with explanation
- Constructions: Construct a CFG or PDA for a language
- Proofs: Proofs about operations on languages

Exam question break down

- Five true/false questions (4 points each)
- Two constructions (20 points each)
- Two proofs (20 points each)

No pumping lemma for context-free languages questions for this exam (but possibly on the final)

Function from symbols to strings

The text of this slide is from a problem on the exam

Let Σ and Γ be alphabets and let $f: \Sigma \to \Gamma^*$ be a function that maps a symbol from Σ to a string in Γ^* . (For example, if $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\Gamma = \{1, 2\}$, then we might have f(a) = 21, and $f(b) = \varepsilon$, and f(c) = 1.)

Extend f to operate on strings in Σ^* by $f(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ and $f(x_1 \cdots x_n) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_n)$. That is, to apply f to a string $w = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ where each $x_i \in \Sigma$, apply f to each of the symbols individually and concatenate the result. (Continuing the example above, $f(abca) = f(a)f(b)f(c)f(a) = 21\varepsilon 121 = 21121$.)

Fun fact about regular languages

If A is a regular language over the alphabet Σ and $f: \Sigma \to \Gamma^*$ is a function extended to strings as described in the previous slide (i.e., $f(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ and f(xy) = f(x)f(y)), then $B = \{f(w) \mid w \in A\}$ is regular.

How would we prove that? Two approaches

- Start with a DFA for \boldsymbol{A} and construct an NFA for \boldsymbol{B}
- Start with a regex for A and construct a regex for B

In both cases, you're going to need to use f(t) for $t \in \Sigma$

Every NFA can be converted to an equivalent PDA

Every NFA can be converted to an equivalent PDA True. Do not use the stack.

Every PDA can be converted to an equivalent NFA

Every PDA can be converted to an equivalent NFA False. Nonregular, context-free languages cannot be recognized by an NFA but can by a PDA.

Every CFG can be converted to an equivalent PDA

Every CFG can be converted to an equivalent PDA True. We have an explicit construction.

Every PDA can be converted to an equivalent CFG

Every PDA can be converted to an equivalent CFG True. Proof is in the book.

Which of the following statements is always true about a PDA's input alphabet Σ and stack alphabet $\Gamma?$

- $\Sigma = \Gamma$
- 2 $\Sigma \neq \Gamma$
- **3** Σ ⊆ Γ
- **5** Γ ⊆ Σ
- **6** Γ ⊊ Σ
- 7 always contains a symbol that's not in Σ (e.g., \$)
- ${\rm (8)}$ There's no inherent relationship between Σ and Γ

Which of the following statements is always true about a PDA's input alphabet Σ and stack alphabet $\Gamma?$

- $\Sigma = \Gamma$
- 2 $\Sigma \neq \Gamma$
- **3** Σ ⊆ Γ
- **5** Γ ⊆ Σ
- **6** Γ ⊊ Σ
- 7 always contains a symbol that's not in Σ (e.g., \$)
- ${\rm (8)}$ There's no inherent relationship between Σ and Γ

No inherent relationship between them.

Are context-free languages are always infinite

Are context-free languages are always infinite

No. Ø is a context-free language generated by the (silly) CFG $S \rightarrow S$ which derives no strings.

Are Noncontext-free languages always infinite?

Are Noncontext-free languages always infinite?

Yes. Finite languages are regular and regular languages are context-free

Can a PDA's stack alphabet be infinite? (I.e., can it contain infinitely many symbols?)

Can a PDA's stack alphabet be infinite? (I.e., can it contain infinitely many symbols?) No. Alphabets are always finite.

If A is context-free and B is regular, then is $A \cap B$ regular?

If A is context-free and B is regular, then is $A \cap B$ regular? It might be, but need not be, for example if A is not regular and B is Σ^* , then $A \cap B = A$.

${\sf Question} \ 10$

If A is regular, and B is context-free, then is $\overline{A} \cup B$ context-free?

If A is regular, and B is context-free, then is $\overline{A} \cup B$ context-free? Yes. Regular languages are closed under complement so \overline{A} is regular and thus context-free. Context-free languages are closed under union so the result is context-free.

${\sf Question}\ 11$

If A is context-free and B is regular, then is $\overline{A} \cup B$ context-free?

If A is context-free and B is regular, then is $\overline{A} \cup B$ context-free? It might not be as context-free languages are not closed under complement.

${\sf Question}\ 12$

What does it mean for a CFG to be ambiguous?

What does it mean for a CFG to be ambiguous?

Some string in the language generated by the grammar has (a) at least two left-most derivations; (b) at least two right-most derivations; and (c) at least two parse trees

${\sf Question}\ 13$

What does it mean for a CFG to be unambiguous?

What does it mean for a CFG to be unambiguous?

Every string in the language generated by the grammar has (a) exactly one left-most derivation; (b) exactly one right-most derivation; and (c) exactly one parse tree

${\sf Question}\ 14$

If G is a CFG and $w \in L(G)$ has two different derivations, is G ambiguous?

If G is a CFG and $w \in L(G)$ has two different derivations, is G ambiguous? Not necessarily. If the two different derivations are both left-most or both right-most, then yes. Otherwise, there's not enough information to know.

Example constructions

- Give a CFG that generates the language $A = \{w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ contains at least 3 as} \}$
- **2** Give a CFG that generates the language $B = \{a^m b^n \mid n > 2m\}$
- Give a PDA that recognizes the language
 C = {w | w ∈ {a, b}* has odd length and the middle symbol is b}
- **4** Give a PDA that recognizes language B
- **⑤** Convert the CFG for language B to a PDA using the CFG to PDA construction
- **6** Convert the CFG for language B to CNF

Example proofs

Define a multi-push PDA (mPDA) as a PDA that can push 0 or more symbols on the stack in each move. Formally, the transition function is δ: Q × Σ_ε × Γ_ε → P(Q × Γ^{*}). Prove that the class of languages recognized by an mPDA is the class of context-free languages. (Show how to simulate an mPDA using a normal PDA which uses additional states for each transition that pushes more than one symbol.)